

## Assignment 2—solutions

### Exercise 1

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space and let  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  be random variables and suppose that  $Z$  is  $\sigma(X, Y)$ -measurable. Use the monotone class theorem to show that there exists a measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $Z = f(X, Y)$ .

Let us first assume that  $Z$  is bounded. Define

- $\mathcal{H}$  as the set of bounded random variables of the form  $\tilde{Z} = f(X, Y)$  for some measurable bounded function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,
- $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{1}_{\{X \in A\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y \in B\}} : A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\}$ .

It is clear that  $\mathcal{A}$  is stable by multiplication and that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a vector space containing constant function 1 as well as the set  $\mathcal{H}^+ = \{\tilde{Z} \in \mathcal{H} : \tilde{Z} \geq 0\}$  is stable by taking bounded non-decreasing limits.

Let us have a sequence  $(Z_k) \subset \mathcal{H}^+$  and such that  $0 \leq Z_1 \leq \dots \leq Z_n \leq \dots \leq C$  for some finite constant  $C > 0$ . Since  $(Z_k) \subset \mathcal{H}^+ \subset \mathcal{H}$ , there exist measurable bounded functions  $f_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $Z_k = f_k(X, Y), k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us denote  $\tilde{Z} := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Z_k$ . By monotonicity,  $\tilde{Z} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Z_k$ . It then suffices to take  $f(x, y) := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f_k(x, y) \vee C$  to get  $\tilde{Z} = f(X, Y)$ . Hence,  $\tilde{Z} \in \mathcal{H}^+$  and therefore  $\mathcal{H}^+$  is stable by taking bounded non-decreasing limits. Because  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \sigma(X, Y)$ , monotone class theorem then yields that  $\mathcal{H}$  contains all bounded  $\sigma(X, Y)$ -measurable functions.

Let now  $Z$  be a general  $\sigma(X, Y)$ -measurable random variable. Clearly,  $Z^{n+} := Z^+ \mathbf{1}_{\{|Z^+| \leq n\}}$  and  $Z^{n-} := Z^- \mathbf{1}_{\{|Z^-| \leq n\}}$  are bounded and  $\sigma(X, Y)$ -measurable for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By the first part, there are measurable functions  $f^{n+}$  and  $f^{n-}$  such that  $Z^{n+} = f^{n+}(X, Y)$  and  $Z^{n-} = f^{n-}(X, Y)$ .

It follows that

$$Z = Z^+ - Z^- = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z^{n+} - \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z^{n-} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{n+}(X, Y) - \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{n-}(X, Y).$$

It is then clear that we can take

$$f(x, y) = \left( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{n+}(x, y) \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{n+}(x, y)| < \infty\}} - \left( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{n-}(x, y) \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{n-}(x, y)| < \infty\}}$$

to get  $Z = f(X, Y)$ .

### Exercise 2

Fix two measurable processes  $X$  and  $Y$  on some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .

- 1) Assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are both right-continuous or both left-continuous. Show that they are  $\mathbb{P}$ -modifications of each other if and only if they are  $\mathbb{P}$ -indistinguishable.
- 2) Show that the previous result is not true in general.

1) We just show that the fact that  $X$  is a version of  $Y$  implies the indistinguishability, since the converse is obvious. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are right-continuous.

For  $t \geq 0$ , we define the null set  $N_t := \{\omega : X_t(\omega) \neq Y_t(\omega)\}$ . We consider  $N := \cup_{t \in \mathbb{Q}_+} N_t$ , which remains a null set as a countable union of null sets. Finally, we introduce the null set  $A_Z := \{\omega : Z(\omega) \text{ not right-continuous}\}$  for  $Z = X, Y$  and we define  $M := A_X \cup A_Y \cup N$ , which is still a null set.

It suffices to check that, for all  $\omega \in M^c$ ,  $X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega) \forall t \geq 0$ . By definition of  $M$  we clearly have that, for  $\omega \in M^c$ ,  $X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega) \forall t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ . Now, take any  $t \geq 0$  and let  $(t_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Q}_+$  with  $t_n \downarrow t$ . The right-continuity of the paths  $X(\omega)$  and  $Y(\omega)$  then implies  $X_t(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{t_n}(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_{t_n}(\omega) = Y_t(\omega)$ .

2) Take  $\Omega = [0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}([0, \infty))$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $P$  a probability measure with  $P(\{\omega\}) = 0, \forall \omega \in \Omega$  (for instance, the exponential distribution). Set  $X \equiv 0$  and

$$Y_t(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & t = \omega, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\mathbb{P}[X_t = Y_t] = 1, \forall t \geq 0$ , since single points have no mass, but  $\{X_t = Y_t, \forall t \geq 0\} = \emptyset$ . Note that all sample paths of  $X$  are continuous, while all sample paths of  $Y$  are discontinuous at  $t = \omega$ .

### Exercise 3

Let  $X$  be a process on a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , where  $\mathbb{F}$  satisfies the usual conditions. We want to show

$$X \text{ } \mathbb{F}\text{-optional} \implies X \text{ } \mathbb{F}\text{-progressively measurable} \implies X \text{ } \mathbb{F}\text{-adapted and measurable.}$$

- 1) Show that every  $\mathbb{F}$ -progressively measurable process is  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted and measurable.
- 2) Assume that  $X$  is  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted and that every path of  $X$  is right-continuous (resp. left-continuous). Show that  $X$  is  $\mathbb{F}$ -progressively measurable.
- 3) Show that  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F})$  is generated by all bounded, càdlàg,  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted and measurable processes.
- 4) Use the monotone class theorem to show that every  $\mathbb{F}$ -optional process is  $\mathbb{F}$ -progressively measurable.

1) Let  $X$  be  $\mathbb{F}$ -progressively measurable. Then  $X \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \times [0, t]}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, t]$ -measurable for every  $t \geq 0$ . For any  $t \geq 0$ , we see that  $X_t = X \circ i_t$ , where  $i_t : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t) \rightarrow (\Omega \times [0, t], \mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, t]), \omega \mapsto (\omega, t)$  is measurable. Therefore,  $X_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable for every  $t \geq 0$ . Moreover, the processes  $X^n$  defined by  $X_u^n := X \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \times [0, n]} \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(u), u \geq 0$ , are  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, \infty)$ -measurable. Since  $X^n \rightarrow X$  pointwise (in  $(t, \omega)$ ) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , also  $X$  is  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, \infty)$ -measurable.

2) Fix a  $t \geq 0$  and consider the sequence of processes  $Y^n$  on  $\Omega \times [0, t]$  given by  $Y_0^n = X_0$  and

$$Y_u^n := \sum_{k=1}^{2^n - 1} \mathbf{1}_{(tk2^{-n}, t(k+1)2^{-n}]}(u) X_{t(k+1)2^{-n}}, \text{ for } u \in (0, t].$$

By construction, each  $Y^n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, t]$ -measurable. Since  $Y^n \rightarrow X|_{\Omega \times [0, t]}$  pointwise as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  due to right-continuity, the result follows.

3) Let  $X$  be adapted, with all paths being càdlàg. Consider the processes  $X^n := (X \wedge n) \vee (-n)$ . Clearly, each  $X^n$  is bounded and càdlàg. Thus, each  $X^n$  is  $\sigma(\mathcal{M})$ -measurable. As the pointwise limit of the  $X^n$ , also  $X$  is  $\sigma(\mathcal{M})$ -measurable. It follows that  $\mathcal{O} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{M})$ . The reverse inclusion is trivial.

4) If a process  $X$  is optional, then  $X^n := X \mathbf{1}_{\{|X| \leq n\}}$  is also optional and of course  $X^n \rightarrow X$ ; so if each  $X^n$  is progressively measurable, then so is  $X$ , and hence we can assume without loss of generality that  $X$  is bounded. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the real vector space of bounded, progressively measurable processes. By 2),  $\mathcal{H}$  contains  $\mathcal{M}$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{H}$  contains the constant process 1 and is closed under monotone bounded convergence. Also,  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed under multiplication. The monotone class theorem yields that every

bounded  $\sigma(\mathcal{M})$ -measurable process is contained in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Due to 3), we conclude that every bounded optional process is progressively measurable.

#### Exercise 4

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space and  $B$  a  $\mathbb{P}$ -Brownian motion on  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and  $0 = s_1 < t_1 < s_2 < t_2 < \dots < t_k < s_{k+1} = 1$ . Find the law of  $(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2}, \dots, B_{t_k})$  conditional on  $(B_{s_1}, \dots, B_{s_{k+1}})$ .

Let  $D := \{a2^{-m} : m \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^m\}\}$ . Let  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$  be an infinite sequence of i.i.d. standard normal random variables. Construct in terms of the  $Z_j$  a stochastic process  $(W_t)_{t \in D}$  such that the law of  $W$  is equal to the law of  $(B_t)_{t \in D}$ .

1) Note that  $(B_{s_1}, B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_k}, B_{s_{k+1}})$  is a Gaussian vector. We now claim that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the random variable

$$\Delta_k := B_{t_k} - \frac{t_k - s_k}{s_{k+1} - s_k} B_{s_{k+1}} - \frac{s_{k+1} - t_k}{s_{k+1} - s_k} B_{s_k},$$

is normally distributed with mean 0 and variance  $(s_{k+1} - t_k)(t_k - s_k)/(s_{k+1} - s_k)$ . In addition,  $\Delta_k$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -independent of  $(B_{s_1}, \dots, B_{s_{k+1}})$ .

Indeed, the first claim is direct form the Gaussian vector property, as well as the equality

$$\Delta_k = -\frac{t_k - s_k}{s_{k+1} - s_k} (B_{s_{k+1}} - B_{t_k}) - \frac{s_{k+1} - t_k}{s_{k+1} - s_k} B_{s_k},$$

which allows to easily compute the variance. As for the second claim, it is enough to show that  $\Delta_k$  is uncorrelated with  $B_{s_{j+1}} - B_{s_j}$ , for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , which is direct by computations.

We conclude that the law of  $(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2}, \dots, B_{t_k})$  conditional on  $(B_{s_1}, \dots, B_{s_{k+1}})$  is Gaussian with mean vector  $\mu$  with

$$\mu^k := \frac{t_k - s_k}{s_{k+1} - s_k} B_{s_{k+1}} + \frac{s_{k+1} - t_k}{s_{k+1} - s_k} B_{s_k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

and variance-covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  which is diagonal with

$$\Sigma^{k,k} := \frac{(s_{k+1} - t_k)(t_k - s_k)}{s_{k+1} - s_k}.$$

2) Let  $\mathcal{D}^n := \{a2^{-m} : m \in \{1, \dots, n\}, a \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2z^m\}\}$ . We construct  $W$  recursively on each  $\mathcal{D}^n$ , so that finally we obtain  $W$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ . The first step is to define  $W_1 := Z_1$ , so that clearly  $W \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} B$  on  $\{0, 1\}$ . If we have defined  $W$  on  $\mathcal{D}^n$  in terms of  $(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{2^{n-1}})$ , we extend it to  $\mathcal{D}^{n+1}$  by

$$W_{(2j-1)2^{-(m+1)}} := \frac{1}{2} W_{(j-1)2^{-m}} + \frac{1}{2} W_{j2^{-m}} + 2^{-n/2-1} Z_{2^n+j}, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\}.$$

By induction, assume that  $W \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} B$  on  $\mathcal{D}^n$ . We also obtain from this construction that the law of  $W|_{\mathcal{D}^{n+1}}$  conditional on  $W|_{\mathcal{D}^n}$  is equal to the law of  $B|_{\mathcal{D}^{n+1}}$  conditional on  $B|_{\mathcal{D}^n}$ , by 1). Therefore, the inductive step is valid, and we finally obtain that the law of  $W$  is equal to the law of  $B|_{\mathcal{D}}$  by the Ionescu-Tulcea theorem.